

Lecture 9: Some Analytical Calculations

29th May, 2023

Lecturer: Prof. Diptiman Sen

Scribe: Phanindra Dewan

In this lecture, some analytical calculations were done to show the correspondence/mapping in 1-D between spin-1/2 systems and fermions \leftrightarrow bosons.

1 Spin Chain

At site n ,

$$\vec{S}_n = \left(\frac{\hbar}{2}\sigma_n^x, \frac{\hbar}{2}\sigma_n^y, \frac{\hbar}{2}\sigma_n^z \right) \quad (1)$$

At any site, the anticommutator and commutator relations are:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\sigma_n^x, \sigma_n^y\} &= 0 \\ [\sigma_n^x, \sigma_n^y] &= i2\hbar\sigma_n^z \\ [S_n^x, S_n^y] &= i\hbar S_n^z \end{aligned}$$

At different sites,

$$[S_n^x, S_m^y] = \delta_{nm} i\hbar S_n^z$$

At different sites, the operators commute, and is boson-like. At a particular site, the operators are fermion-like.

Recall:

Bosonic operators: b_n, b_n^\dagger

$$\begin{aligned} [b_n, b_m^\dagger] &= \delta_{nm} \\ [b_n, b_m] &= 0 \\ [b_n^\dagger, b_m^\dagger] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Fermionic operators: c_n, c_n^\dagger

$$\begin{aligned} \{c_n, c_m^\dagger\} &= \delta_{nm} \\ \{c_n, c_m\} &= 0 \\ \{c_n^\dagger, c_m^\dagger\} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let's look at the Hamiltonian:

$$H = J \sum_n (S_n^x S_{n+1}^x + S_n^y S_{n+1}^y + S_n^z S_{n+1}^z) \quad (2)$$

$(J > 0) \rightarrow$ isotropic anti-ferromagnetic chain

Think of $H = J \sum_n \vec{s}_n \cdot \vec{s}_{n+1}$

Minimum energy configuration is : $\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow$; called Neel configuration, with no net magnetization.

Consider $H = -J \sum_n \vec{s}_n \cdot \vec{s}_{n+1}$

Minimum energy configuration is : $\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$; called isotropic ferromagnetic chain, with net magnetization.

There are different magnetic materials depending upon the orientations of the spins and the relative strength of magnetic moments of the spins:

- Ferromagnetic
- Antiferromagnetic
- Ferrimagnetic
- Paramagnetic

Consider again:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= -J \sum_n \vec{s}_n \cdot \vec{s}_{n+1} \\ &= -J \sum_{n=1}^L [S_n^z S_{n+1}^z + \frac{1}{2}(S_n^+ S_{n+1}^- + S_n^- S_{n+1}^+)] \end{aligned}$$

Try the ansatz: $|\psi\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$

Then, $H|\psi\rangle = -J \frac{L\hbar^2}{4} |\psi\rangle$

This is the ground state of the system, It is not unique. $\rightarrow L + 1$ degenerate ground states.

But now consider,

$$H = J \sum_{n=1}^L [S_n^z S_{n+1}^z + \frac{1}{2}(S_n^+ S_{n+1}^- + S_n^- S_{n+1}^+)]$$

Try the Neel state: $|\psi\rangle = |\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$

Then, $H|\psi\rangle = -J \frac{L\hbar^2}{4} |\psi\rangle + \text{other states}$

Just finding the ground state is a hard problem. \rightarrow Solved by Hans Bethe (1931 - Bethe ansatz)

We will try to solve the case for which there is no z-z coupling.

2 X-Y Model

$$H = J \sum_{n=1}^L (S_n^x S_{n+1}^x + S_n^y S_{n+1}^y) \quad (3)$$

Note that here the sign of J is not important as we can flip the sign by a unitary transformation. Keep in mind that the following state: $\rightarrow \leftarrow \rightarrow \leftarrow \rightarrow \leftarrow \rightarrow \leftarrow \rightarrow \leftarrow$ is not an eigenstate. TO find the ground state, we will need to something called the Jordan-Wigner transformation.

2.1 Jordan-Wigner Transformation

From spin-1/2 system, we need to go to spinless fermions. The fermionic operators will be as described before.

Mapping:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_n^z & & c_n^\dagger c_n \\ \frac{\hbar}{2} & |\uparrow\rangle_n \leftrightarrow |1\rangle_n & 1 \\ -\frac{\hbar}{2} & |\downarrow\rangle_n \leftrightarrow |0\rangle_n & 0 \end{array}$$

The mapping between the operators is:

$$S_n^z = \hbar(c_n^\dagger c_n + 1/2) \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^+ &= S_n^x + iS_n^y \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2}(\sigma^x + i\sigma^y) \\ &= \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Now the question is do the S_n^+ and S_n^- map directly to the c_n^\dagger and c_n operators.

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^+ &\stackrel{?}{=} \hbar c_n^\dagger \\ S_n^- &\stackrel{?}{=} \hbar c_n \end{aligned}$$

The operators S_n^+ and S_n^- satisfy commutation relations at different n. The operators c_n^\dagger and c_n satisfy anticommutation relations.

We know:

$$\sigma_n^z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ - & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

And,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n^z \sigma_n^x &= -\sigma_n^x \sigma_n^z \\ \sigma_n^z \sigma_n^y &= -\sigma_n^y \sigma_n^z \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\hbar = 1$, we can take the fermionic operators to be a string of σ operators.

$$c_n^\dagger = S_n^+ \prod_{j=-\infty}^{n-1} \sigma_j^z \quad (5)$$

$$c_n = S_n^- \prod_{j=-\infty}^{n-1} \sigma_j^z \quad (6)$$

Doing so, we can have c_m and c_n satisfy the correct anticommutation relations.

→ But why is $\{c_m, c_n\} = 0$?

For $m = n$, $c_n^2 = 0$

$$\implies c_n^2 = (S_n^-)^2 = 0, \text{ since } S_n^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Next, $c_n c_m = -c_m c_n$ if $n \neq m$

The σ_j^z 's for $j = -\infty$ to $m-1$ cancel since $(\sigma_j^z)^2 = 1$

$$\implies c_n = \sigma_m^z \sigma_{m+1}^z \dots \sigma_{n-1}^z S_n^-$$

$$c_m = S_m^-$$

$\because \sigma_m^z$ anticommutes with $S_m^- \implies c_n$ and c_m anticommute if $m \neq n$

$\implies c_n^\dagger$ anticommutes with c_m^\dagger by just taking Hermitian conjugate.

Next up: $\{c_n, c_m^\dagger\} = \delta_{mn}$

For $m = n$: $\{c_n, c_n^\dagger\} = 1$

So, $c_n c_n^\dagger + c_n^\dagger c_n = S_n^- S_n^+ + S_n^+ S_n^- = 1$ since,

$$S_n^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, S_n^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The $m \neq n$ case follows as before.

Now we can inverse the relation and write:

$$S_n^+ = c_n^\dagger \prod_{j=-\infty}^{n-1} \sigma_j^z \quad (7)$$

$$S_n^- = c_n \prod_{j=-\infty}^{n-1} \sigma_j^z \quad (8)$$

and,

$$\sigma_j^z = 2(c_n^\dagger c_n - 1/2) \quad (9)$$

Then,

$$H = J \sum_{n=1}^L (S_n^x S_{n+1}^x + S_n^y S_{n+1}^y) \quad (10)$$

$$= \frac{J}{2} \sum_n (S_n^+ S_{n+1}^- + S_n^- S_{n+1}^+) \quad (11)$$

Using the same logic as before, we get:

$$S_n^+ \simeq c_n^\dagger \quad (12)$$

$$S_{n+1}^- \simeq \sigma_{n+1}^z c_{n+1} \quad (13)$$

Then, we can write:

$$H = \frac{J}{2} \sum_n [c_n^\dagger \sigma_n^z c_{n+1} + h.c.] \quad (14)$$

Consider the folloowing:

$$S_n^+ \sigma_n^z |\uparrow\rangle_n = 0$$

$$S_n^+ \sigma_n^z |\downarrow\rangle_n = -|\downarrow\rangle_n$$

And claiming that: $S_n^+ \sigma_n^z = -S_n^+$,

$$c_n^\dagger \sigma_n^z = -c_n^\dagger \quad (15)$$

$$\therefore H = -\frac{J}{2} \sum_n (c_n^\dagger c_{n+1} + c_{n+1}^\dagger c_n) \quad (16)$$

This completes the Jordan-Wigner transformation. The X-Y model has been converted into a tight-binding Hamiltonian of spinless fermions.

Note: This trick will only work for 1D because in 2-D, it will be difficult to come up with the string of σ 's when defining S_n^+ in terms of c_n^\dagger .

Now to solve for the energies, we will go to Fourier space:

$$c_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_n c_n e^{ikn} \quad (17)$$

$$c_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_k c_k e^{-ikn} \quad (18)$$

$$c_k^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_n c_n^\dagger e^{ikn} \quad (19)$$

$$c_n^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_k c_k^\dagger e^{-ikn} \quad (20)$$

Then, the Hamiltonian becomes,

$$H = \sum_k E_k c_k^\dagger c_k \quad (21)$$

where,

$$E_k = -J \cos(k) \quad (22)$$

Particles can be added to the bands by adding a chemical potential in the Hamiltonian.

$$H = \frac{J}{2} \sum_n (c_n^\dagger c_{n+1} + c_{n+1}^\dagger c_n) - \mu \sum_n c_n^\dagger c_n \quad (23)$$

$$\implies H = J \sum_n (S_n^x S_{n+1}^x + S_n^y S_{n+1}^y) - \mu \sum_n \left(S_n^z + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (24)$$

Here, the chemical potential μ acts like a magnetic field in the z direction.

3 Correlation Functions

3.1 Two-point Correlation Functions

Let us calculate the following quantity:

$$\langle GS | S_n^z S_m^z | GS \rangle = \langle GS | (c_n^\dagger c_n + 1/2)(c_m^\dagger c_m + 1/2) | GS \rangle \quad (25)$$

With no cross terms:

$$\langle GS | c^\dagger c_n | GS \rangle = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k_1, k_2} \langle GS | c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_2} | GS \rangle e^{i(k_1 - k_2)n} \quad (26)$$

$$\left(\text{To get non-zero terms: } -\frac{\pi}{2} < k_1 = k_2 < \frac{\pi}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_k^{L/2} = \frac{1}{2} \quad (27)$$

$$\implies \langle GS | c_m^\dagger c_m | GS \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \quad (28)$$

Then cross terms:

$$\langle GS | c_n^\dagger c_n c_m^\dagger c_m | GS \rangle = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4} \langle GS | c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_2} c_{k_3}^\dagger c_{k_4} | GS \rangle e^{i(-k_1 n + k_2 n - k_3 m + k_4 m)} \quad (29)$$

$c_{k_4} | GS \rangle \rightarrow$ annihilates k_4 if $-\frac{\pi}{4} < k_4 < \frac{\pi}{4}$
 $c_{k_3}^\dagger c_{k_4} | GS \rangle \rightarrow$ annihilates k_4 if $-\frac{\pi}{4} < k_4 < \frac{\pi}{4}$ and either creates that particle back ($k_3 = k_4$) or
creates a new particle ($k_3 \neq k_4$) if $\frac{\pi}{2} < k_3 < \frac{3\pi}{2}$

Wick Contraction:

•

$$\begin{aligned} k_3 &= k_4 \\ k_1 &= k_2 \\ \rightarrow \left(\frac{L}{2}\right)^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$$

•

$$\begin{aligned} k_3 &\neq k_4 \\ k_1 &\neq k_2 \\ k_1 &= k_4 \\ k_2 &= k_3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle GS | c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_2} c_{k_3}^\dagger c_{k_4} | GS \rangle = \langle GS | c_{k_2} c_{k_3}^\dagger c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_4} | GS \rangle \quad (30)$$

Phases: $e^{i(-k_1 n + k_1 m + k_2 n - k_2 m)}$

$$\sum_{-\pi/2 < k_1 < \pi/2} = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \frac{dk_1}{\frac{2\pi}{L}}$$

$$\therefore \langle GS | S_n^z S_m^z | GS \rangle = \frac{1}{L^2} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \frac{dk_1}{\frac{2\pi}{L}} \int_{\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} \frac{dk_2}{\frac{2\pi}{L}} e^{-i(k_1 - k_2)(n-m)} \quad (31)$$

$$= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \frac{dk_1}{2\pi} e^{-ik_1(n-m)} \int_{\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} e^{-ik_2(n-m)} \quad (32)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{1}{-i(n-m)} [e^{ik_1(n-m)}]_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{i(n-m)} [e^{ik_2(n-m)}]_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \right) \quad (33)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi^2(n-m)^2} \left(- \left(e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}(n-m)} - e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}(n-m)} \right) \right) \left(e^{i\frac{3\pi}{2}(n-m)} - e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}(n-m)} \right) \quad (34)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi^2(n-m)^2} (-2i) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(n-m)\right) e^{i\pi(n-m)} (2i) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(n-m)\right) \quad (35)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^2(n-m)^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(n-m)\right) e^{i\pi(n-m)} \quad (36)$$

$$= 0, \text{ if } m - n = \text{even} \quad (37)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\pi^2(n-m)^2}, \text{ if } m - n = \text{odd} \quad (38)$$

In conclusion,

- gapless system :

$$\left\langle \vec{S}_n \cdot \vec{S}_m \right\rangle \sim \frac{1}{|m-n|^{power}}$$

- gapped system:

$$\left\langle \vec{S}_n \cdot \vec{S}_m \right\rangle \sim e^{-|m-n|/\xi}$$

3.2 A "cute" result

$$\sum_m \langle GS | S_n^z S_m^z | GS \rangle = \langle GS | S_n^z \sum_m S_m^z | GS \rangle \quad (39)$$

Here, $\sum_m S_m^z = \sum_m (c_m^\dagger c_m - 1/2) = \sum_m c_m^\dagger c_m - L/2$ Then,

$$\sum_m \langle GS | S_n^z S_m^z | GS \rangle = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{1}{1^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{5^2} + \dots \right) \quad (40)$$

$$= 0 \quad (41)$$